П				
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ABINGDON DIVISION			

	·			
	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.: 1:20-MJ-00080 August 3, 2020 Abingdon, Virginia		
	Λ-	Motion Hearing		
D.	YLAN STEPHEN JAYNE,	Before: PAMELA MEADE SARGENT		
	Defendant.	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA		

For the Plaintiff:				
JENNIFER R. BOCKHORST UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS OFFICE				
	180 West Main Street, Sui- Abingdon, VA 24210 276-628-4161	te B19		
	USAVAW.ECFAbingdon@usdoj.	gov		
For the Defendant: NANCY C. DICKENSON FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE 201 Abingdon Place Abingdon, VA 24211 276-619-6080				
			<pre>nancy_dickenson@fd.org</pre>	
		п11- Отт.		
	-	: Ella Surber Mary J. Butenschoen		
	ROCEEDINGS TAKEN BY FTR, TRANS	SCRIBED USING COMPUTER-AIDED		

(Proceedings commenced 11:38 a.m.)

THE COURT: Good morning. The Court has before it this morning the case of *United States of America v. Dylan Stephen Jayne*. It's Case Number 1:20-MJ-80.

Mr. Jayne is before this Court for his initial appearance in this Court. He would be due today for an initial appearance and also has the right to a probable cause hearing because he's charged right now on criminal complaint. However, I do note that the Court has pending before it today a motion for a psychiatric examination the government filed. It's Docket Item Number 8. And the government filed a motion for a psychiatric examination that the Court intends to take up first. Depending on my determination on that, we may or may not go forward with the initial appearance today and/or set his probable cause hearing or hold his probable cause hearing and/or a detention hearing.

Let me just ask Ms. Dickenson, the government's motion was filed some time ago. Mr. Jayne, of course, was arrested in another district and was transported to this district. Is the defense contesting the motion for a psychiatric examination?

 $\mbox{MS. DICKENSON:}\ \mbox{No, we do not take any position}$ regarding that motion.

THE COURT: All right. Does the government have evidence to present today other than that contained in the

motion?

MS. BOCKHORST: Your Honor, may I proffer on what has occurred in the courtroom before your appearance?

THE COURT: You may, and we'll see what the defense response to that is.

MS. BOCKHORST: Your Honor, Mr. Jayne is presenting today much as he did in the EDVA, and I watched part of that EDVA, the last hearing. He has been yelling at his counsel regarding them bringing him a food stamp card.

THE COURT: I'm going to have to ask you to speak up just a little bit.

MS. BOCKHORST: Yelling that they did not bring him a food stamp card, that he has an appointment to go shopping. He clearly doesn't seem to understand what he's here for. He was yelling that he did not want any part of their mental health court, which maybe suggests he has some idea of why he's here, but he certainly -- just as what I witnessed in the EDVA and what was reported back to me, he does not seem to understand what is actually transpiring in the courtroom. And he certainly does not seem to be capable of having a conversation that is on point to what is actually happening.

The SAUSA at EDVA had called back after the first hearing with grave concerns about his competency. And from what I witnessed both at the EDVA hearing and here today before the hearing, I have to say I share those concerns.

Agent --

THE COURT: Excuse me just one moment. Can you print -- I didn't bring that motion with me because I thought you would print it. It's Number 8.

There was an allegation in the motion that Mr. Jayne had previously been diagnosed with schizophrenia.

MS. BOCKHORST: Yes, ma'am, and Agent Stith is here if you need to hear evidence as to that, but my understanding is there have been a series of contacts going back since 2008 between the U.S. Capitol Police and Mr. Jayne. The first time they note based upon their conversation with him, they notified D.C. police, and it was their understanding he was involuntarily committed after that.

They have had conversations with his mother and his sister, including since his arrest, in which they -- they said he had been diagnosed with schizophrenia, that he is fine when he's medicated, but he is not taking his medication. As a result they have had very little contact with him, my understanding is, the last ten years. But his mother had relayed I believe to Agent Stith that if he would be medicated he would not be a danger to anyone. That she believed that this is -- she believed that this is all -- his behavior or the alleged threats are all due to his illness.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Dickenson, let me ask, have you had a chance to consult with Mr. Jayne?

```
I have, Your Honor.
                MS. BOCKHORST:
 1
                THE COURT: I want to be clear about this: As an
 2
      Officer of the Court, if you have concerns about your client's
 3
      competency, the Court expects you to raise that with the
 4
      Court. I'm not a mental health expert, and I don't -- you
 5
      know, I don't have the ability just to look at folks to tell
 6
 7
      if they are competent or not competent, and I have to rely on
      experts to tell me that. But in cases where it's an issue,
 8
      sometimes I have little resort, other than to ask the experts
 9
      to give me an opinion on that. I mean, it certainly would
10
      seem that the facts that are alleged at least in the motion
11
      raise some serious concerns.
12
13
                THE DEFENDANT: Is there a general scheduled
      paycheck available for you, and --
14
15
                THE COURT: Mr. Jayne?
16
                THE DEFENDANT: -- and and ID for Mr. Jayne?
                THE COURT: Mr. Jayne?
17
                THE DEFENDANT:
                                Is there?
18
                THE COURT: Mr. Jayne?
19
                THE DEFENDANT: I can't be anybody, Miss, I can't,
20
      but --
21
22
                THE COURT: You can't be Mr. Jayne?
23
                THE DEFENDANT: I can have individual check fraud,
      but I can't be myself.
24
25
                THE COURT: Okay.
```

THE DEFENDANT: Like consumers card and advancements for employment, their response? It's really embattled with themselves as a party to involve the news, but why cyber attack me like it's -- you know, it's a convenience? It's not a convenience to cyber attack anyone that you won't let them out -
THE COURT: Mr. Jayne?

THE DEFENDANT: -- as a mental health. That's an excuse for everybody else (indiscernible) paycheck.

THE DEFENDANT: Mr. Jayne, I'm going to ask that you not voluntarily speak unless I ask you something.

THE DEFENDANT: It's really not a wedding. I wasn't invited to get one.

THE COURT: And I want to fully understand that, okay? But the reason I'm really concerned about you speaking, sir, is that you might say something that could be used against you.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, I have, you know, more awarded money I don't receive, and their process never rewards me in the past six years that it was belonging to them at the bank, that I should stay in the mental health award. It was actually given to me as a generally scheduled paycheck and that they weren't rewarding it to me in their process. As a single appointment there was a lot more involved there than I could, you know, comprehend individual status.

THE COURT: I hear your concerns. That's really not the issue right at this moment, Mr. Jayne, if you will give me a moment, okay?

Ms. Dickenson?

MS. DICKENSON: Your Honor, given Mr. Jayne's apparent confusion today, there are times when he does seem to understand why he's here. He recalls the Court's name. He's requested a bond today. So there is some general understanding of the fact that he is in custody and he would prefer not to be in custody. But there are some obvious difficulties in communicating with Mr. Jayne.

We have discussed with the government the motion that they have filed. Our concern, Your Honor, is a transfer of Mr. Jayne to a federal medical facility given the COVID-19 pandemic and the large number of positive cases at both Butner and Lexington. I'm not sure Lexington is performing evaluations now or not, but those facilities have large case numbers.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this: I know in the past the public defender has hired a mental health expert to do an initial evaluation of someone and to determine whether or not -- an inside evaluation to determine whether or not they are competent. And that would give us at least something to go on.

Does the Public Defender's Office have the ability

to do that in this case.

MS. DICKENSON: Your Honor, I've made some preliminary investigations about availability of an expert to -- who would come to the Abingdon jail given the pandemic, Dr. Shah from ETSU. He is now retired but continuing to do private work.

THE DEFENDANT: Is that a problem with James Purcell from the UK or the British Royal Family that it's involved with their media --

THE COURT: Mr. Jayne?

THE DEFENDANT: -- located at the NSA address? It wasn't a problem with Carbon County and me living there. It was an issue if I moved to Vermont and trespassing on property, he threw my application in the garbage. And I had that mailed to you in your complaint on September 19, 2019. I mailed it to you from Vermont.

THE COURT: Mr. Jayne, please, sir, when you speak to the Court, leave your mask in place, okay? Thank you. I appreciate that. Thank you.

You were saying, Ms. Dickenson?

MS. DICKENSON: I apologize. Dr. Shah is available to perform the evaluation. He will not come to the jail in person, but if telemedicine can be arranged he's willing to do that.

We've also contacted an expert that's been utilized

by the Eastern District of Tennessee. Katie Smith is located in Tennessee, in Knoxville.

Third possibility is Dr. Rebecca Loehrer in Radford. We have not been able to confirm her availability.

There are some possibilities, Your Honor. We understand that the government would have the obligation to pay for the evaluation and is able to do that in the case of -- in recent cases the government has handled the financial responsibility.

THE COURT: What would be the government's response to doing some kind of local evaluation to at least give us an idea of exactly what condition Mr. Jayne is in?

MS. BOCKHORST: Given the circumstances of the pandemic, we think that is reasonable.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BOCKHORST: And I'll add that Ms. Dickenson is correct that the financial burden would fall on the government, and I was asked to ask you to include that in the order just so that the paperwork is clear, that it's the government's responsibility to pay for that.

THE COURT: Well, what I would like to do is this:

Is I would like to set a deadline for a few days to see if we can agree on an evaluator. And if we can make the arrangements for a local evaluator, then I would do an order, ordering, basically, the marshal service to make the

arrangements necessary, especially if it needs to be by 1 videoconferencing, to make the arrangements necessary to allow 2 it to happen, to allow the evaluator -- if the evaluator 3 wanted to go in, to allow the evaluator to go in and to state 4 that the government would pay for it. 5 Do you-all think that you-all might have a good 6 7 chance of doing that within the week? MS. DICKENSON: Yes, Your Honor, I would think. 8 THE COURT: Okay. What I'm going to do at this 9 point is I'm only going to enter an oral order. 10 THE DEFENDANT: I'm not interested in your mental 11 health exam and your, you know, parody of rules. I'm not 12 13 going to --THE COURT: Right, I hear you, Mr. --14 THE DEFENDANT: -- attack my identity. 15 16 THE COURT: I understand you. THE DEFENDANT: You know my identity is my name and 17 my Social Security number. It isn't more like information and 18 their guidelines that they're asking for. I'm not going to 19 agree to it. 20 THE COURT: I hear you, Mr. Jayne, and I hear your 21 22 position. THE DEFENDANT: The White House Corporation offers 23 addresses and offers shopping money to the right individuals 24 25 without this procedure of their -- of their media and their

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

introduction of their own concepts. And, you know, reality doesn't exist with them. It exists with me. THE COURT: May I --THE DEFENDANT: In the courtroom I went to the police officer first. I go to the police officer for help when the U.S. Attorney attacks me with a fax machine or it's presented media control with their abilities to use the courtroom. THE COURT: Mr. Jayne? THE DEFENDANT: It's not appropriate since the past money was stolen and, you know, it's my own ability to make choices for myself. It's not theirs. It doesn't ever exist to them with my ID. Those are my taxes to be paid by me. Where the corporation that chose me to have this in my own place that was made in a court order. Not for them to party with at their own place and positions to associate me. can't do that on my own locked in a jail cell. I can't do that. THE COURT: Mr. Jayne, I hear --THE DEFENDANT: That's a terroristic threat to me.

THE COURT: Mr. Jayne, I hear your concerns.

THE DEFENDANT: It was really only made for an appointment from the service that was offering me the general scheduled paycheck from the same individuals that say they offer work and employment opportunities at Social Security in

their mental health evaluations. It doesn't make a lot of

1

```
sense since --
 2
 3
                THE COURT: May I --
                THE DEFENDANT: -- two weeks ago.
 4
                THE COURT:
                           Mr. Jayne, may I speak for a moment?
 5
                Thank you. Thank you, sir.
 6
 7
                What I'm going to do is I'm going to take the motion
      under advisement. I'm going to enter an oral order asking
 8
      counsel to consult and let me know within the week, within
 9
      seven days, if you can agree on an evaluator and what the
10
      terms of that evaluation would have to be, whether it would
11
      have to be by telemedicine or going into the jail.
12
                And then when I get that information, I will do my
13
      order to see if we can arrange, first, to get an evaluation
14
      locally, and then, of course, if Mr. Jayne is going to have
15
      to -- if he's not competent and he's going to have to be
16
      restored to competency, then that's probably going to have to
17
      occur at a federal medical facility.
18
                THE DEFENDANT: Do I know this is my bail hearing
19
      today?
20
                THE COURT: Mr. Jayne, I can't --
21
22
                THE DEFENDANT: Do I know this is my bail hearing?
                THE COURT: I don't know that you know this is your
23
      bail hearing, okay?
24
25
                THE DEFENDANT: What was my arrest date? It was
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

like June 25 that was provided a charge that you worked on for the past two years and didn't represent me in the past six years that was my money that's going somewhere else. Awarded to the court from me? I'm not going to like be in a position to accept this is my bail, that you're not posting me any bail or making a result, other than no bail hearing. THE COURT: Mr. Jayne? THE DEFENDANT: Like I don't have an existing service that -- that's invisible to me that I carry. Like this is the only service I have to trust in your courtroom with these individuals trying to take priority on my identity. There hasn't been anything trusted to me they are capable of, other than stealing, theft, wasteful fraud, and, you know, solicitation of my own device. So I really don't appreciate anything that has been in communication with my family or their own identity theft. THE COURT: Ladies, is there anything further in Mr. Jayne's case? THE DEFENDANT: As far as the where the money is, that's everybody that doesn't go through those. All right. What I'm going to do --THE COURT: THE DEFENDANT: This is my bail hearing. THE COURT: -- is I'm going to adjourn the

proceedings. I'm going to remand -- remand him to the custody

of the marshal service, okay?

Court will stand in adjournment. THE MARSHAL: All rise. (The proceedings concluded at 11:54 a.m.) CERTIFICATE I, Mary J. Butenschoen, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcription of the FTR recording in the above-entitled matter. 9/30/2020 Mary J. Butenschoen, Transcriber